

REMARKS

INTRODUCTION:

In accordance with the foregoing, claim 12 has been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer, and claims 1 and 11 have been amended. No new matter is being presented, and approval and entry are respectfully requested.

Claims 1-15, 17-21, and 23-31 are pending and under consideration. Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

CHANGES TO THE SPECIFICATION:

The specification has been reviewed in response to this Office Action. Changes have been made to the specification only to place it in preferred and better U.S. form for issuance and to resolve the Examiner's objections raised in the Office Action. No new matter has been added.

That is, Applicant apologizes for the typographical error in the number of the Japanese Patent Publication No. in paragraph 4 on page 1 of the application, which has been corrected.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT:

A Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement is filed herewith, citing the correct Japanese Patent Publication (see above). Thus, the amendment to the specification and the Information Disclosure Statement are submitted to correct the error.

REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. §112:

In the Office Action, at page 3, claims 1-10, 15 and 17-20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, for the reasons set forth therein. This rejection is traversed and reconsideration is requested.

Independent claim 1 has been amended in accordance with the Examiner's suggestion. Thus, amended claim 1 is submitted to be in proper form under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. Since claims 2-10, 15 and 17-20 depend from amended claim 1, claims 2-10, 15 and 17-20 are submitted to be in proper form under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, for at least the reasons that amended claim 1 is submitted to be in proper form under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph.

REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. §102:

In the Office Action, at pages 4-5, claims 11, 21, 23, 24 and 26 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent Publication No. 2002/0033436 to Peng et

al. This rejection is traversed and reconsideration is requested.

Independent claim 11 has been amended to recite, in part: "a lower main bracket attached to the installation surface, ~~and detachably attached to a lower back of the display main body and spaced from the upper main bracket,~~" which is not recited in US Patent Publication No. 2002/0033436 to Peng et al. Thus, amended claim 11 is submitted to be distinguishable over US Patent Publication No. 2002/0033436 to Peng et al. and to be allowable under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) over US Patent Publication No. 2002/0033436 to Peng et al.

Since claims 21, 23, 24 and 26 depend from amended claim 11, claims 21, 23, 24 and 26 are submitted to be allowable under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) over US Patent Publication No. 2002/0033436 to Peng et al. for at least the reasons that amended claim 11 is submitted to be allowable under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) over US Patent Publication No. 2002/0033436 to Peng et al.

ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER:

In the Office Action, at pages 5-6, claims 1-10, 15 and 17-20 were deemed allowable if rewritten suitably.

As noted above, claim 1 has been amended as suggested by the Examiner, and is submitted to be in allowable form. Thus, since claims 2-10, 15 and 17-20 depend from amended claim 1, claims 2-10, 15 and 17-20 are submitted to be in proper form for at least the reasons that amended claim 1 is submitted to be in proper form.

In the Office Action, at page 6, claims 12-14 and 25 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 11 has been amended as noted above, and is now submitted to be in allowable form. Since claims 12, 13, 14 and 25 depend from amended claim 11, claims 12, 13, 14 and 25 are submitted to be in allowable form for at least the reasons that amended claim 11 is submitted to be in allowable form.

In the Office Action, at page 6, claims 27-29 and 31 were allowed. Applicants thank the Examiner for her careful review of said claims and allowance thereof.

CONCLUSION:

In accordance with the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that all outstanding objections and rejections have been overcome and/or rendered moot, and further, that all pending claims patentably distinguish over the prior art. Thus, there being no further outstanding objections or rejections, the application is submitted as being in condition for

allowance, which action is earnestly solicited.

If the Examiner has any remaining issues to be addressed, it is believed that prosecution can be expedited by the Examiner contacting the undersigned attorney for a telephone interview to discuss resolution of such issues.

If there are any underpayments or overpayments of fees associated with the filing of this Amendment, please charge and/or credit the same to our Deposit Account No. 19-3935.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date:

July 1, 2005

By:

Darleen J. Stockley
Darleen J. Stockley
Registration No. 34,257

1201 New York Avenue, N.W.
Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 434-1500
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501